

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

SEP 16 2008

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

SHAUGUANG WANG,

Petitioner,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 05-70088

Agency No. A96-053-990

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted September 8, 2008**

Before: TASHIMA, SILVERMAN, and N.R. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

Shauguang Wang, a native and citizen of China, petitions pro se for review of a Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order dismissing her appeal from an

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

immigration judge's decision denying her application for asylum, withholding of removal, and relief under the Convention Against Torture ("CAT"). We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence, reversing only if the evidence compels the result, *INS v. Elias-Zacarias*, 502 U.S. 478, 481 n.1 (1992), and we deny the petition.

Substantial evidence in the record supports the agency's determination that Wang failed to establish eligibility for asylum and withholding of removal, because she did not provide evidence indicating that she was or would be targeted on account of a protected ground. *See Ochoa v. Gonzales*, 406 F.3d 1166, 1170-72 (9th Cir. 2005).

Finally, because Wang has not shown that it is more likely than not that she will be tortured if she returned to China, substantial evidence supports the IJ's denial of CAT relief. *See Malhi v. INS*, 336 F.3d 989, 993 (9th Cir. 2003).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.